

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
4 November 2004 (04.11.2004)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/094282 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: **B65H 19/22**
- (21) International Application Number: **PCT/FI2004/000247**
- (22) International Filing Date: **22 April 2004 (22.04.2004)**
- (25) Filing Language: **Finnish**
- (26) Publication Language: **English**
- (30) Priority Data:
20030618 24 April 2003 (24.04.2003) FI
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **METSO PAPER, INC. [FI/FI]; Fabianinkatu 9 A, FI-00130 Helsinki (FI).**
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **KOJO, Teppo [FI/FI]; Alhontie 10, FI-4600 Mäntsälä (FI).**
- (74) Agent: **FORSSÉN & SALOMAA OY; Eerikinkatu 2, FI-00100 Helsinki (FI).**
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): **AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.**
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): **ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).**
- Published:
— with international search report
— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **METHOD FOR CALCULATING/OPTIMIZING THE DIAMETER OF A PAPER OR BOARD WEB REEL**



(57) Abstract: The invention relates to a method for calculating/optimizing the diameter of a paper or board web reel, in which method a web is wound in paper or board machines into machine reels, which are run on a slitter-winder to form customer rolls, whose desired diameter and width are determined according to the customer's need, and in which method a continuous-trimming running mode is used in which a desired amount of web is run into a machine reel and, when needed, splicing is performed on the slitter-winder to produce customer rolls with a desired diameter size. In the method, the machine reel diameter is determined on the basis of restrictions set by the customer or equivalent on the location of a splice in the customer roll and the amount of the broke being produced from the machine reel is optimized. In the method, information about the customer rolls to be slit is obtained from a production control system or equivalent for calculating/optimizing a machine reel diameter instruction for the purpose of optimizing the diameter of the next machine reel, and the calculated/optimized machine reel diameter instruction is set in the control system of a reel-up.

WO 2004/094282 A1



For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

4/pets

Method for calculating/optimizing the diameter
of a paper or board web reel

- 5 The invention relates to a method according to the preamble of claim 1.

In paper and board machines a finished web is wound into machine reels which are sought to be run to a certain, usually a maximum diameter so as to be as large as possible in size. These machine reels are run on a slitter-winder to form cus-
10 tomer rolls, whose desired diameter and width are determined according to the customer's demand. In other words, rolls having a width and a diameter as desired by the customer are slit out of the full-width web of the machine reel by means of the slitter-winder. One problem in connection with the methods used in prior art is that if there occur web breaks in the paper machine, the diameter of the machine
15 reel changes.

In the prior art there is known a so-called continuous-trimming running mode in which machine reels are wound into a maximum diameter regardless of customer roll diameters except in the case of grade change. On the slitter-winder, splicing is
20 accomplished to join machine reels to one another in order to obtain customer rolls of desired diameter size. Previously, splicing was performed manually and it was troublesome and difficult, the quality of splices varied and did not meet the requirements of printing houses. Today, there is also available an automatic splicing device, which has the advantage that the diameter of the machine reel need be
25 optimized not according to individual sets but according to the entire order for a specific paper grade. However, it is problematic in this connection that, for reasons of the runnability of the printing press primarily with a view to minimizing breaks, it is required by the printing houses that if there are splices in customer rolls their number and location shall be as specified. In that connection, in the
30 continuous-trimming running mode, it must be possible to calculate already in connection with the winding of the machine reel the location and the number of

the splices caused by the joining of the ends of the webs of different machine reels to produce customer rolls of the right size so that the splices will be at the right location in the customer roll to be wound in order that it shall meet the criteria set by the customer and the amount of broke shall be minimized. The printing houses
5 require, for example, that there shall be no splice at a given distance from the roll bottom or from the roll surface.

Previously, a manually calculated table was used concerning the effect of the customer roll diameter and the number of sets on the diameter of the machine reel.
10 After that, automatic systems have been created to calculate the above-mentioned matters, in which it is additionally possible to take into account the effect of bad paper in the reel and different/varying winding tension as well as the thickness of paper both in the machine reel and in the customer roll and in which it is possible to take into account the content and size of machine reels placed in intermediate
15 storage. This kind of procedure is described, for example, in the paper *Paper Machine Reel Optimization – Analysis and a Case Study* read by Dusan Dapcevic and published on pages C37 – C45 of the conference publication: Conference Record of the 1999 IEEE Annual Pulp & Paper Industry Technical Conference; Seattle, WA, June 21-25, 1999; 1-10.

20 An object of the invention is to provide a method in which the drawbacks of the arrangements known from the prior art are eliminated or at least minimized and in which the above-noted objects are achieved.

25 With a view to achieving the objects described above as well as those coming out later, the method according to the invention is mainly characterized by what is stated in the characterizing part of claim 1.

In connection with the invention, the continuous-trimming running mode known
30 per se is used as the running mode on the slitter-winder so that attempts are made to run machine reels of maximum size within the limits set by technology and

economy, and the method in accordance with the invention determines/optimizes the machine reel diameter based on the printing houses' restrictions *Roll Paper Requirements and Specifications*, Version 1.4, June 16, 2000, Quebecor World Roll Paper Requirements and Specifications or on converters' restrictions
5 (*Smurfit-Stone, Containerboard Mechanical Roll Quality Standards*, 888 – 284 – 4470, Effective Date, June 1, 2001) on the splice location in the customer roll. The diameter determined in the method in accordance with the invention is fed manually or automatically to the reel-up to control the reel-up.

10 In the method in accordance with the invention, the restrictions on the splice location are set as settable variables, for example, according to each individual paper grade or printing house/order. At the same time, the number of splices to be placed in customer rolls and the resultant machine reel broke, caused because of the joining of machine reels to one another, are optimized. The system in accordance
15 with the invention also takes into account the undersize machine reels produced because of web breaks and the optimization of the location of the splice used for joining them.

)
20 The method in accordance with the invention provides, for example, a proposal for changing the slitting order of machine reels on the slitter-winder if the paper grade and the customer roll diameters allow it, whereby the splice can be placed in the customer roll at a location allowed by the printing house.

The application of the method in accordance with the invention can be a so-called
25 stand-alone system, i.e. a separate system, or a part of the other production control system known per se.

The method in accordance with the invention makes it possible to improve material efficiency such that a maximum proportion of the paper produced on the paper
30 machine can be wound into customer rolls in spite of the different restrictions

concerning splices and roll diameters. In this way, material efficiency, i.e. the net efficiency achieved on the machine, is improved by means of the invention.

In the following, the invention will be described in greater detail with reference to the figures in the appended drawing, but the invention is not by any means meant to be narrowly limited to the details of them.

Figure 1 schematically shows calculation/optimization of machine reel diameters.

10 Figure 2 schematically shows the effect of optimization of the location of the splice joining machine reels on broke length.

Figure 3 schematically shows optimizing the location of the splice joining a web-break machine reel.

15

Figure 4 is a schematic block diagram of calculation/optimization of machine reel diameters in a machine reel change/web-break situation.

As shown in Fig. 1, the information needed for calculation/optimization of machine reel diameters is obtained from a production control system 11, which provides, among other things, information about the next orders for the slitter-winder for the purpose of optimizing the diameter of the next machine reel, as well as the number of sets, customer roll diameters, web length in the roll, slitter-winder settings and other corresponding information. Based on this information, a diameter instruction 12 for the machine reel is calculated/optimized and freely settable splice location restrictions in the customer roll are obtained for a reel-up control system 13, thereby obtaining a maximum reel diameter instruction for the reel-up, unless according to calculation a splice is located in the last set in a forbidden area in the customer roll. If this is the case, the diameter instruction is reduced such that the splice will be located at a sufficient distance inside the customer roll according to calculation. In other words, the available information is used in the

20
25
30

control system 13 of the reel-up, which gives a machine reel diameter instruction for running.

As shown in Fig. 2, the effect of optimization of the location of the splice joining machine reels on broke length is significant. In the example of Fig. 2, the maximum length in a completed machine reel is initially 77 km. The desired number of customer rolls is 6 and their web length is 13 km. The system finds that with the machine reel diameter that is being run a splice will be placed too close to the surface in the roll of the last set in view of the restrictions set by the customer. Consequently, the system reduces the length of the machine reel to 75 km, so that the splice will be at a sufficient distance from the surface of the roll, thus achieving a web saving of 2 km, i.e. 2.6 % more material efficiency.

Fig. 3 shows optimization of the location of the splice joining a web-break machine reel. In the example shown in Fig. 3, the length of the web-break machine reel is 51 km. 4 customer rolls of 13 km each are needed. The system finds that, as a result of a web break on the paper machine, the splice will be placed too close to the surface in the roll of the last set, with the result that the system gives an instruction to wind the next machine reel such that it will leave a bottom of 1.5 km for the last set, which means that the splice will be far enough from the bottom of the roll to which the preceding splice machine reel is joined, the running order of the machine reels at the slit is changed. In this way, a 2.9 % saving in the material of the machine reel is achieved with the new machine reel length of 75 km.

In the block diagram shown in Fig. 4, the block 21 contains order list information; customer roll diameter (tolerances), core diameter, numbers of sets, and information about the restrictions on machine reel dimensions; web length/ diameter. The necessary information about the restrictions on the location of a splice in the customer roll (e.g. from the surface/bottom) is given in the block 22. The block 23 receives information from the block 21 and addition information for the next machine reel, and the surface area of the bottom set of the machine reel to be wound

and its diameter on the core are calculated by means of a method known per se in the block 23 (surface area of the machine reel – (surface area of the addition of the preceding bottom set + X * surface area of full sets + surface area of the reeling drum)). In the above calculation formula, by the surface area is meant the surface area of the end face of a cylinder or circle corresponding to a reel/roll and X = the maximum number of full sets obtained from the machine reel in question. In the block 24, the result received from the block 23 is compared with the information received from the block 22 concerning the restrictions on the splice location in the customer roll, if the splice location is OK, a transition is made to the block 25, where it is calculated how much additional surface area is needed in order that an undersize bottom set shall become full (addition to the surface of the next machine reel) and the information is transmitted further back to the block 23. If the splice is too close to the bottom, the machine reel diameter is reduced to the preceding full set according to the block 26 in order to receive addition information = 0 as a result, and the information is transmitted further back to the block 23. If the splice is too close to the surface in the block 27, the machine reel diameter is reduced such that the splice will be placed deep enough from the surface. The information obtained from the block 27 is transmitted to the block 23. In accordance with the block diagram, in a machine reel change/web-break situation, the calculation/optimization of the machine reel diameter, in a reel change/web-break situation, the calculation/optimization of the machine reel diameter takes place such that the length (surface area of the end face) of the bottom set of the last completed machine reel (undersized) is stored in the memory of the system. The diameter of the bottom set of the next machine reel (undersized) is calculated, block 23, according to this value and the lengths (end-face surface areas) of the next sets in accordance with the order list 21, assuming that the reel will be one with a maximum diameter.

The calculated bottom set diameter is compared, block 24, with the splice location restrictions 22 (e.g. from the bottom and the surface of the customer roll) fed into the system.

- The bottom splice restriction is found directly on the basis of diameter. If calculation shows that the splice will be placed too close to the bottom in a customer roll of an undersize surface set, block 26, the system reduces (when needed, the operator confirms the change) the target diameter of the machine reel which is building up such that an undersized bottom set is not wound into said machine reel.
 - The surface splice restriction is found by comparing the calculated diameter of the undersize bottom set with the diameter of the set in question according to the order list. If calculation shows that the splice will be placed too close to the surface in a customer roll of an undersize surface set, block 27, the system reduces (when needed, the operator confirms the change) the target diameter of the machine reel which is building up such that in the undersize surface set the splice will be placed at least at a distance according to the restriction from the surface.
- If there occurs a web break during the reeling of the machine reel, the system calculates the diameter of the undersize surface set of said machine reel, block 23, and checks the splice location restrictions, block 24. If the restriction is not violated, block 25, the system proceeds with the calculation of the next machine reel, as described above. If the restrictions are violated, the system proposes a change in the running order of machine reels or waste pulping.

Above, the invention has been described only with reference to some of its advantageous exemplifying embodiments, but the invention is not by any means intended to be narrowly limited to the details of them.

Claims

1. A method for calculating/optimizing the diameter of a paper or board web reel, in which method a web is wound in paper or board machines into machine reels,
5 which are run on a slitter-winder to form customer rolls, whose desired diameter and width are determined according to the customer's need, and in which method a continuous-trimming running mode is used in which a desired amount of web is run into a machine reel and, when needed, splicing is performed on the slitter-winder to produce customer rolls with a desired diameter size, **characterized in**
10 that, in the method, the machine reel diameter is determined on the basis of restrictions set by the customer or equivalent on the location of a splice in the customer roll and the amount of the broke being produced from the machine reel is optimized, that, in the method, information about the customer rolls to be slit is obtained from a production control system or equivalent for calculating/ optimiz-
15 ing a machine reel diameter instruction for the purpose of optimizing the diameter of the next machine reel, and that the calculated/optimized machine reel diameter instruction is set in the control system of a reel-up.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1, **characterized in that**, in the method, the calculated/optimized machine reel diameter is fed manually to the control system of
20 the reel-up.
3. A method as claimed in claim 1, **characterized in that**, in the method, the calculated/optimized machine reel diameter is transmitted automatically to the control system of the reel-up.
25
4. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3, **characterized in that** the restrictions on the splice location are set according to each individual paper grade, printing house and/or order.

5. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, **characterized** in that the number and the location of the splices to be placed in customer rolls and the resultant machine reel broke, caused because of the joining of machine reels to one another, are optimized in the method.

5

6. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5, **characterized** in that the undersize machine reels produced as a result of web breaks occurred on the paper or board machine and the optimization of the location of the splice used for joining them are taken into account in the method.

10

7. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6, **characterized** in that the slitting order of machine reels is changed by means of the method.


8. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, **characterized** in that the method is applied as a stand-alone system in connection with a slitter-winder and a machine reel-up.

15

9. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, **characterized** in that the method is applied as a part of the production control system of the paper or board machine.

20

1 / 4



2 / 4




FIG. 2

3 / 4




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

/FI2004/000247

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 B65H19/22

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 B65H

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4 631 682 A (BRENDUEHL ROGER C ET AL) 23 December 1986 (1986-12-23) abstract column 2, line 18 - line 27; claims 1,3	1
L	ANONYMOUS: "Roll Paper Requirements and specifications" QUEBECOR WORLD VERSION 1.9, 'Online! 1 September 2003 (2003-09-01), XP002289964 Retrieved from the Internet: <URL:http://www.quebecorworldinc.com/en/cs/PaperSpecs_V1-9_090803.pdf> 'retrieved on 2004-08-02! cited in the application	1

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- * & * document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

30 July 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

03/09/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Haaken, W

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

/FI2004/000247

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
L	ANONYMOUS: "Containerboard Mechanical roll Quality Standards" SMURFIT-STONE, 'Online! 1 June 2001 (2001-06-01), XP002289965 Retrieved from the Internet: <URL:http://www.sscboardsales.com/product s/pdf_bin/rollqualitystandards.pdf> 'retrieved on 2004-08-02! cited in the application	1
A	US 4 901 577 A (ROBERTS CHRISTOPHER C) 20 February 1990 (1990-02-20) abstract; claim 1	1
A	US 6 520 080 B1 (FRIED JULES M) 18 February 2003 (2003-02-18) the whole document	1
A	US 5 450 116 A (SILVA MICHAEL T ET AL) 12 September 1995 (1995-09-12) abstract	1
A	WO 02/088012 A (METSO PAPER INC ; PAANASALO JARI (FI)) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07)	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

.../FI2004/000247

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4631682	A	23-12-1986	AT 42941 T	15-05-1989
			AU 585878 B2	29-06-1989
			AU 4578685 A	13-02-1986
			BR 8503740 A	13-05-1986
			CA 1261433 A1	26-09-1989
			DE 3570069 D1	15-06-1989
			DE 171345 T1	12-06-1986
			EP 0171345 A1	12-02-1986
			FI 852561 A ,B,	08-02-1986
			IN 164365 A1	04-03-1989
			JP 1724564 C	24-12-1992
			JP 4011459 B	28-02-1992
			JP 61090959 A	09-05-1986
			KR 8903854 B1	05-10-1989
			MX 160440 A	27-02-1990
			NO 853055 A ,B,	10-02-1986
			PH 22778 A	12-12-1988
			ZA 8505919 A	24-09-1986
US 4901577	A	20-02-1990	NONE	
US 6520080	B1	18-02-2003	NONE	
US 5450116	A	12-09-1995	AU 7726694 A	03-04-1995
			EP 0719214 A1	03-07-1996
			WO 9507821 A1	23-03-1995
WO 02088012	A	07-11-2002	FI 20010885 A	28-10-2002
			CA 2445326 A1	07-11-2002
			DE 10296719 T0	29-04-2004
			WO 02088012 A1	07-11-2002
			US 2004135024 A1	15-07-2004